Malarial infection among patients attending a Nigerian semi-urban based hospital and performance of HRP-2 pf Rapid diagnostic Test (RDT) in screening clinical cases of Plasmodium falciparum malaria.
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Abstract

Background: Malaria is a life threatening disease caused by Plasmodium spp that are transmitted to people through the bite of infected mosquitoes. This study was undertaken to determine malarial infection among patients attending General Hospital Gboko, Benue State, Nigeria and evaluate the performance of the Histidine Rich Protein (HRP-2) pf Rapid Diagnosis Test (RDT) in screening clinical cases of Plasmodium falciparum malaria in a field setting.

Methods and Findings: The study was conducted between June and October 2010. Thick blood smears were prepared using standard parasitological procedures, other information concerning the patients were obtained using a well structured questionnaire. Prevalence rate of malaria irrespective of Plasmodium species among the patients examined was 39.5% (102/258). Prevalence rate of malarial infection was not significantly different between sexes ($\chi^2 = 0.01$, $p>0.05$), age groups ($\chi^2 = 6.44$, $p>0.05$), educational status ($\chi^2 = 6.1$, $p>0.05$) and occupation of the patients examined ($\chi^2 = 8.4$, $p>0.05$). The study also revealed predominance of Plasmodium falciparum malaria (59.1%) among all the positive cases of malaria. Performance of the HRP-2 pf RDTs showed a sensitivity of 89.5% and specificity of 100% in the area. Conclusion: The results obtained suggested that microscopy remains the gold standard method for diagnosis of malarial infection, although the HRP-2 pf RDTs can be used where microscopy is not available and in cases where urgent malaria diagnosis is needed.
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Introduction

Malaria is a life threatening disease caused by Plasmodium sp that are transmitted to people through the bite of infected mosquitoes. About 3.3 billion persons are estimated to be at risk of malarial infection of whom 243 million are infected (86% living in Africa) and nearly 863,000 (mostly African children) died of the infection [1].

In many endemic countries including Nigeria, patients are usually clinically diagnosed and only a small proportion of malaria cases are tested, owing to a lack of diagnostic capabilities therefore raising a considerable uncertainty surrounding the estimate of the number of cases and deaths.

The number of RDTs available on the market has grown rapidly since their introduction in the late 1990s. It is estimated that there are 60 brands and over 200 tests commercially available today, with an estimated 50-70 million tests used in 2008[2]. However, of the 27 products fully evaluated for rapid diagnostic of Plasmodium sp, only 6 are designed to detect P. falciparum the major cause of morbidity and mortality in sub-Saharan Africa [2].

Microscopy has been the corner stone of diagnosis and is recommended for malaria diagnosis where its quality can be maintained, but the need for trained personnel, adequate reagents and equipment limit its availability and accessibility to many people in malaria-endemic areas [2]. Microscopy is usu-
ally not available in most hospitals and where they are available, the test results are usually not readily available during consultation, which means that patients are treated based on the symptoms before the test results are available.

There are various serious challenges encountered in local hospitals, clinics and health centers in reporting malaria cases in Nigeria and also RDTs performance studies are mostly laboratory based, with only few from field settings. This study was designed to find out the level of malaria infection irrespective of *Plasmodium* species using microscopy among patients attending General Hospital Gboko, a semi-urban area in Benue State, Nigeria and evaluate the performance of the Histidine Rich Protein (HRP) - 2 pf Rapid Diagnostic Test for detecting *falciparum* malaria in a field setting representing the proper epidemiologic context.

**Materials and Methods**

**Study Area**

The study was conducted in Gboko Local Government Area (LGA), a semi-urban area of Benue State, Nigeria located at latitude 7° 19’N and longitude 9°00’E. The climate is tropical, sub-humid with mean daily temperature of about 28°C and annual rainfall of 1000m. The rainy season is between April and October, while the dry season lasts from November to March. The area covers a land mass of 2, 264 km² with a population of 358, 963 people making it one the most populous Local Gov ernment areas in Benue State [3].

**Sample collection**

The study was conducted between June and October 2010. Before collection of blood samples, permission was sought from the ethical clearance committee of Ministry of Health, Makur di and the Hospital Management Board. Patients presenting themselves for malaria tests in the General hospital were duly informed on the significance of the study and their informed consent was given before collection of any blood sample. The study subjects consisted of 258 subjects aged between 1-70 years. Parental consents were obtained for young persons below 18 years.

**Use of HRP-2 pf Rapid Diagnostic Test and Interpretation**

The HRP-2 pf rapid malaria diagnosis test kits were kept at room temperature in the hospital’s laboratory and opened just before performing the test. Each test kit comprised a cassette, a pipette and a dropper containing a buffer. The left thumb of participants was thoroughly cleaned with methylated spirit and a sterile lancet was used to prick the finger to obtain blood sample. The blood was then dropped into the round sample well. Four drops of assay diluent (buffer) was added into the assay diluents well. The test result was read after 20 minutes. The presence of two pink colour bands (Test line “T” and control line “C”) within the result window indicates a positive result. If the control band fails to appear within the result window, the result is considered negative. Detailed description of the test is given in Malaria Rapid Diagnostic Performance [2].

**Malaria Parasite Microscopy**

Preparation of thick blood films for malaria microscopy was made from patient’s blood samples according to Cheese brough [4]. Thick films were then examined under a microscope using oil immersion at x100 objective. A thick film was considered negative if 100 microscopic fields showed no parasites. The following plus (+) sign scheme was used to report the degree of parasitaemia: (+) for low parasitaemia (1-10 parasites per 100 high power fields), (+++) for severe parasitaemia (11-100 parasites per 100 high power field) and (++++) for severe parasitaemia (1-10 parasites in every high power field) [4].

**Statistical Analysis**

Data were analyzed using PASW (Predictive Analysis Software) for windows version 18 and Chi-square test was used to compare prevalence of malaria infection between age, sex, occupation and educational status of the subjects.

**Determination of (HRP-2)pf RDT performance**

Performance of the RDT HRP-2 pf was assessed by calculating sensitivity, specificity, Positive and Negative Predictive values using the following formulae:

\[
\text{Sensitivity} = \frac{a}{a+b}, \text{ where } a = \text{True positive}
\]

\[
b = \text{False negative}
\]

\[
\text{Specificity} = \frac{c}{c+d}, \text{ where } c = \text{True negative}
\]

\[
d = \text{False positive}
\]

**Results**

Table 1 shows the prevalence of malaria infection in relation to age groups irrespective of *Plasmodium* species. An overall prevalence of 102 (39.5%) was observed out of the 258 patients examined. The highest prevalence rate was observed among the age group 1-10 years and 51-60 years with 52.9% (18/34) and 43.8% (7/16) respectively. However, no significant difference was observed in malarial infection among the different age groups \((\chi^2 = 6.44, p>0.05)\).

Table 2 shows the prevalence of malaria infection in relation to sex. Males recorded higher prevalence rate with 40.0% (40/100) than females 39.2% (62/158). However, no significant difference was observed in malaria prevalence among the sexes \((\chi^2 = 0.01, p>0.05)\).
Table 3 also shows the prevalence of malaria in relation to educational status irrespective of *Plasmodium* species. Participants with primary education recorded the highest prevalence rate with 51.9% (14/27), followed by those that have no formal education, 40.0% (48/120). The least prevalence rate was observed among those that had secondary education, 16.1% (9/56). No significant difference was observed in malaria prevalence and educational status of the patients. ($\chi^2 = 6.1$, $p>0.05$).

Table 4 shows the occurrence of *Plasmodium falciparum* and non-falciparum species among infected patients. Out of the 102 patients found positive for malaria parasite, *Plasmodium falciparum* recorded 59.8% (61/102), while non-falciparum parasites recorded 40.2% (41/102).

Table 5 shows the analysis of RDTs as screening test among patients attending General Hospital Gboko. Of the 258 examined, the non-falciparum malaria cases (41) were excluded. Fifty one (100%) were observed as true positive, while 156 (93.9%) were observed as true negative. However, 10 (6.0%) were observed as false negative and no false positive result was obtained. Using these values to find out the performance of the HRP-2 pf RDT, a sensitivity of 89.5% and specificity of 100% were obtained.

**Discussion**

The study revealed a relatively high malaria prevalence rate (39.5%) among patients attending General Hospital Gboko, Benue State, Nigeria. In the same town, however, Houmsou et
Performance of the HRP-2 Rapid Diagnosis Test recorded a sensitivity of 89.5% and specificity of 100%. Sensitivity and specificity obtained in this study are similar with findings of other studies. Hopkins et al. [10] in Kampala, Uganda who observed sensitivity of 83.0% and specificity of 100% using the same RDTs products. The false-negative result obtained (6.0%) could be as a result of variability in the amino acid sequence of the HRP-2 antigen of *Plasmodium falciparum* that may affect the ability of RDT to detect it [1]. In certain *P. falciparum* parasites, the HRP-2 antigen may not be detected at all due to gene deletion by individual for the production of HRP-2 and so will give a negative result with these RDTs [1]. Other limitations of RDT for this antigen relate specifically to technical aspects of the HRP-2 test system which could include the method used to transport and store tests which could affect their field sensitivity. The sensitivity could also be dependent on the quality of preparation and interpretation of the tests [1].

The study revealed that HRP-2 RDTs had some limitations because false-negative results (6.0%) were obtained. Nevertheless, in settings where microscopy is unavailable using RDTs can lead to a significant reduction in the over prescription of anti-malarial drugs. However, blood film examination in microscopy remains the standard method for diagnosing malaria since it detected all cases of *Plasmodium* species and allows visualization of parasite growth stage which is essential in making therapeutic decisions. Thus, to reduce spread of malaria in the populace, it is recommended that the general public in Gboko should be enlightened on the importance of environmental hygiene; the Local Government Environmental Sanitation Agency should provide means of disposing waste properly and watch over mosquito breeding sites to avoid spread of malaria. However, it is also recommended that RDTs should be used when microscopy is not available and if significant proportion of parasites in a given area do not express HRP-2, it will be necessary to use tests detecting other target antigen such as pLDH or Aldolase.

**Authors’ contributions**

HRS and AEU designed and conceived the study. STT was central to the conception and supervise laboratory work. AAH helped in collecting blood samples from patients and prepared thick blood films for examination. HRS carried out statistical analysis and drafted the paper. AEU gave critical review of the paper and all authors read and approved final version of the paper.

**Acknowledgements**

We sincerely give thanks to the Ministry of Health, Makurdi, Benue State, Nigeria and the General Hospital Gboko management board for granting us permission to carry out the research. We also acknowledge patients who voluntarily participated in the study and without whom the study would not have been feasible.
References